

S. RES. 665

Whereas employee-owned companies give workers a voice in corporate governance, and that voice helps the long-term well-being of the company;

Whereas employee-owned companies often outperform non-employee-owned companies and show greater resiliency during challenging economic conditions;

Whereas employee-owned companies face lower staff turnover, and workers experience greater job security at those companies;

Whereas employee-owners feel better prepared to cover the expenses of life and retire with a greater sense of financial security; and

Whereas employee-owned companies have a rich history in communities across the United States: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) designates October 2018 as “National Employee Ownership Month”;

(2) supports employee-owned businesses; and

(3) acknowledges that employee-owned companies have a positive impact on workers, businesses, and communities.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 4032. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 302, to provide protections for certain sports medicine professionals who provide certain medical services in a secondary State; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4033. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 302, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4034. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 302, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4035. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 302, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4036. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 302, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4037. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 302, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4038. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 302, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4039. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 302, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4040. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 302, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4041. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 302, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 4032. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 302, to provide protections for certain sports medicine professionals who provide certain medical services in a secondary State; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 277, between lines 2 and 3, insert the following:

“(c) NONAPPLICATION OF PREEMPTION.—The provisions of section 41713 shall not apply to carriage of property by operators of small unmanned aircraft systems described in the update to existing regulations under subsection (a).”.

SA 4033. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 302, to provide protections for certain sports medicine professionals who provide certain medical services in a secondary State; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end, add the following:

DIVISION L—REINFORCING AMERICAN-MADE PRODUCTS ACT OF 2018

SEC. 2001. SHORT TITLE.

This division may be cited as the “Reinforcing American-Made Products Act of 2018”.

SEC. 2002. EXCLUSIVITY OF FEDERAL AUTHORITY TO REGULATE LABELING OF PRODUCTS MADE IN THE UNITED STATES AND INTRODUCED IN INTERSTATE OR FOREIGN COMMERCE.

Section 320933 of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 (15 U.S.C. 45a) is amended—

(1) in the first sentence, by striking “To the extent” and inserting the following:

“(a) IN GENERAL.—To the extent”;

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(b) EFFECT ON STATE LAW.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the provisions of this section shall supersede any provisions of the law of any State expressly relating to the extent to which a product is introduced, delivered for introduction, sold, advertised, or offered for sale in interstate or foreign commerce with a Made in the U.S.A. or Made in America label, or the equivalent thereof, in order to represent that such product was in whole or substantial part of domestic origin.

“(2) ENFORCEMENT.—Nothing in this section shall preclude the application of the law of any State to the use of a label not in compliance with subsection (a).”;

(3) in the third sentence of subsection (a), as designated by paragraph (1), by striking “Nothing in this section” and inserting “Except as provided in subsection (b), nothing in this section”.

SA 4034. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 302, to provide protections for certain sports medicine professionals who provide certain medical services in a secondary State; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

Strike section 1946 and insert the following:

SEC. 1946. SCREENING PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 44920 of title 49, United States Code, is amended by striking subsections (a) and (b) and inserting the following:

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The operator of an airport may submit to the Administrator of the Transportation Security Administration a notification that the airport requests the screening of passengers and property at the airport under section 44901 by personnel of a qualified private screening company pursuant to a contract with the Transportation Security Administration.

“(b) SELECTION OF QUALIFIED PRIVATE SCREENING COMPANIES.—

“(1) LIST OF QUALIFIED PRIVATE SCREENING COMPANIES.—Not later than 30 days after receiving a notification from the operator of

an airport under subsection (a), the Administrator shall provide to the operator of that airport the opportunity—

“(A) for the operator to select a qualified private screening company with which the operator prefers the Administrator enter into a contract for screening services at that airport; or

“(B) to request that the Administrator select a qualified private screening company with which to enter into such a contract.

“(2) ENTRY INTO CONTRACT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsections (c) and (d), not later than 60 days after the operator of an airport selects a qualified private screening company under paragraph (1)(A) or under this subparagraph or requests the Administrator to select such a company under paragraph (1)(B)—

“(i) the Administrator shall enter into a contract for screening services at that airport with the qualified private screening company selected by the airport or the company selected by the Administrator, as the case may be; or

“(ii) in the case of a company selected by the operator of the airport, if the Administrator rejects the bid from that company, or is otherwise unable to enter into a contract with that company, the Administrator shall provide the operator of the airport another 60 days to select another qualified private screening company.

“(B) REJECTION OF BIDS.—If the Administrator rejects a bid from a private screening company selected by the operator of an airport under paragraph (1)(A) or subparagraph (A)(ii), the Administrator shall, not later than 30 days after rejecting that bid, submit to the operator, the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate, and the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives a report that includes—

“(i) the findings that served as the basis for rejecting the bid;

“(ii) the results of any cost or security analyses conducted in relation to the bid; and

“(iii) recommendations for how the operator of the airport can address the reasons the Administrator rejected the bid.”.

(b) QUALIFIED PRIVATE SCREENING COMPANIES.—Subsection (c) of such section is amended by striking “and will provide” and all that follows through “with this chapter”.

(c) STANDARDS FOR PRIVATE SCREENING COMPANIES.—Subsection (d) of such section is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(B) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (C);

(C) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following:

“(B) the cost of providing screening services at the airport under the contract is equal to or less than the cost to the Federal Government of providing screening services at that airport during the term of the contract;”;

(D) in subparagraph (C), as redesignated by subparagraph (B), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”;

(E) by adding at the end the following:

“(D) entering into the contract would not compromise aviation security.”;

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by striking “paragraph (1)(B)” and inserting “paragraph (1)(C)”;

(B) by striking the second sentence; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) CALCULATION OF FEDERAL COSTS.—For purpose of the comparison of costs required by paragraph (1)(B), the Administrator shall incorporate a cost estimate that reflects the